

## **AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS**

Replacement sheet of drawings are enclosed for the Examiner's approval.

The attached drawings include changes to Figs. 3, 7 and 8.

In Fig. 3, the reference number "53" is changed to --54--. In addition, the reference numbers "412" and "612" are deleted to correspond to the specification.

The original Fig. 7 is changed into Fig. 8, and the original Fig. 8 is changed into Fig. 7.

In the new figure 7, the guiding wedge 23 is located at a high point 411 of the cam groove 41, and the lower panel 4 is lower than the upper edge of the cutter 5 to expose the upper edge of the cutter 5.

In the new figure 8, the guiding wedge 23 moves along the cam groove 41 and pushes the lower panel 4 upward until the guiding wedge 23 is located at a low point of the cam groove 41, and the lower panel 4 is higher than the upper edge of the cutter 5.

In addition, a marked-up copy (with annotations) of the amended figures 3, 7 and 8 is also enclosed for the Examiner's approval.

Attachment:

Replacement Sheet

Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

#### **Priority**

The certified copy of the Taiwanese application has been submitted in accordance with the Examiner's instruction.

### **SPECIFICATION OBJECTION**

The disclosure was originally objected to because of some informalities.

Responsive to this, the specification is corrected carefully and completely in accordance with the Examiner's instruction and suggestion, and it is believed that the objection should be removed.

### **DRAWING OBJECTION**

The drawings were originally objected to because of some informalities.

Responsive to this, figures 3, 7 and 8 are corrected in accordance with the Examiner's instruction and suggestion, and it is believed that the objection should be removed.

### **CLAIM OBJECTION**


Claims 1, 8 and 9 were originally objected to because of some informalities.

However, the Examiner has pointed out that claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office Action.

Responsive to this, claims 1, 8 and 9 are amended according to the Examiner's instruction and suggestion. Therefore, it is believed that, by the amendments, the objections should be removed, and the claims 1-9 should be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, he is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Alan Kamrath", is written over a horizontal line.

by Alan D. Kamrath


Attorney for Applicant

Nikolai & Mersereau, P.A.

900 Second Avenue South

Suite 820

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3813



# Annotated Sheet Showing Changes




FIG. 8




FIG. 7